	Case 2:20-cv-01878-JAM-DB Documen	t 16 Filed 08/17/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID W. DINGLE,	No. 2:20-cv-1878 JAM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	GREGORY C. TESLUK, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff claims defendants violated his rights under the Eighth Amendment.	
19	By order dated November 5, 2020 the court screened the complaint. (ECF No. 7.) It	
20	found plaintiff's allegations failed to state a claim. The complaint was dismissed with sixty days	
21	leave to amend. On January 27, 2021, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending that this action be dismissed for failure to file an amended complaint. (ECF No.	
23	11.) Thereafter, plaintiff filed objections stating that a fellow inmate has been assisting him with	
24	this case, that inmate has all the materials related to this action, and that he cannot meet with that	
25	inmate because inmate movement is restricted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. (ECF No. 12.)	
26	He requested a "postpone[ment] of these proceedings until after the coronavirus threat and [the	
27	prison is] back to a normal program."	
28		
		1

Case 2:20-cv-01878-JAM-DB Document 16 Filed 08/17/21 Page 2 of 2

The court declined to grant a stay, but vacated the January 27, 2021 findings and recommendations and granted plaintiff an additional 60 days to file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 13.) After the time for filing an amended complaint again expired, the court issued an order to show cause directing plaintiff to file an amended complaint or dismiss this action within thirty days. (ECF No. 15.) Those thirty days have passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, requested additional time to file an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order. Accordingly, the court will recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders.

For the reasons set forth above, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: August 16, 2021

DB/DB Prisoner Inbox/Civil Rights/R/ding1878.f&r.dism

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE